arXiv:1608.06872v2 [math.QA] 23 Dec 2016

THE GENUS ONE COMPLEX QUANTUM CHERN-SIMONS REPRESENTATION OF THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP

JØRGEN ELLEGAARD ANDERSEN AND SIMONE MARZIONI

Abstract. In this paper we compute explicitly, following Witten's prescription, the quantum representation of the mapping class group in genus one for complex quantum Chern-Simons theory associated to any simple and simply connected complex gauge group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. We use a generalization of the Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform to exhibit an explicit expression for the representation.

1. Introduction

In his seminal paper [Wi1], Witten introduce the study of quantum Chern-Simons theory with non-abelian gauge group G. For the compact gauge groups $G = SU(N)$, the so called Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev TQFT's was first constructed by Reshetikhin and Turaev for $G = SU(N)$ [RT1, RT2, T] and subsequently by Blanchet, Habegger, Masbaum and Vogel in [BHMV1, BHMV2, B1] using skein theory. Recently Ueno jointly with the first author of this paper showed in a series of four papers [AU1, AU2, AU3, AU4] that the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev TQFT is the same as the TQFT coming from Conformal Field Theory [TUY, BK] as was also proposed to be the case in Witten's original paper [Wi1]. Witten further suggested in the same paper that the geometric quantization of the moduli space of flat connections should be related to this theory and he developed this approach further in his joint paper with Axelrod and Della Pietra [ADW]. Following shortly after, Hitchin gave a rigorous account of this work in [H]. For a purely differential geometric account of the construction of this connection see [A6, AG1, AGL]. By combining the work of Laszlo [La1] with the above mention work of Ueno and the first author, it has now been confirmed that one can use the geometric quantization of the moduli space of flat connections as an alternative construction of the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev TQFT. This has been exploited in, among others, the works [A3, AMU, A4, AHi, A5, A7, AHJMMc].

In the paper [Wi2] Witten also proposed a way to construct the mapping class groups representations of quantum Chern-Simons theory for complex gauge groups. This theory received much less attention, but the time now seems ripe to fully develop this theory. Recently Kashaev has, jointly with the first author of this paper, rigorously constructed the $n = 2$ theory in [AK1, AK2, AK3]. First the level $k = 1$ theory was examined in detail in [AK1, AK2] and recently has the picture for all levels become clear and in fact, a very general scheme has been proposed in [AK3] for construction of TQFT's from Pontryagin self-dual Locally compact groups. It is further verified in that paper that the scheme for the LCA $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_k$ yields quantum Chern-Simons theory for $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ at level k. See also [AS] for

Supported in part by the center of excellence grant "Center for quantum geometry of Moduli Spaces" from the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF95).

further discussion of the general level theory. This should be seen in parallel to the developments on the index by Garoufalidis and Dimofte in [Ga1, DGa1], which should be related to the level $k = 0$ theory and further their work on the quantum modularity conjecture [DGa2]. In the physics literature, the complex quantum Chern-Simons theory has been discussed from a path integral point of view in a number of papers [Di1, BNW, DGG, DGLZ, DGu1, GM, Gu1, Hi1, Hi2, Wi3] (see also references in these) and latest by Dimofte [Di2, Di3] using the more advanced 3d-3d correspondence.

In parallel to this Gammelgaard in collaboration with the first author of this paper, has given [AG2] a finite dimensional differential geometric proof of the projective flatness of the connections proposed by Witten in [Wi2]. The basic setup is here to consider the space of all sections of the Chern-Simons line bundle over the moduli space of flat G connections as the polarised Hilbert space for the quantisation of the $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ moduli space, where the polarisation on the $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ moduli space depends on the choice of a complex structure on the underlying surface, just as in the case of the complex polarizations of the moduli space for the compact group G. Witten shows that one also in this non-compact case gets a connection, which he argues using partially physics arguments, should be flat. In the work [AG2] the first author and Gammelgaard gave a differential geometric construction of this connection, which they named the *Hitchin-Witten* connection. Further they gave a proof that this Hitchin-Witten connection is projectively flat for higher genus surfaces.

For the genus one case, it is immediately clear that Witten's proposal produces a flat connection. The purpose of this paper is to compute the resulting representation of the mapping class group in this case. Let us now review the setup from Witten's paper[1].

Suppose G is a simple, simply connected compact Lie group and let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be its complexification. Let Σ be a closed oriented surface of genus one. Then we get the following description of the moduli space M_G of flat G connection on Σ

$$M_G \cong \mathbb{T}_G \times \mathbb{T}_G / W,$$

where $\mathbb{T}_G$ is the maxim torus of G and W is the Weyl group of G. We thus have the projection map

$$\pi : \mathbb{T}_G \times \mathbb{T}_G \to M_G,$$

whose fiber over a generic point in the target is a copy of W, but since the action of W on $\mathbb{T}_G \times \mathbb{T}_G$ has fixed points, this is not a global covering map. Using the Chern-Simons action functional and the correct normalisation of the invariant inner product on the Lie algebra of G (see e.g. [Fr1], [RSW]), one can construct a complex line bundle $\mathcal{L}_G$ over M_G and from the construction we get the following identification for all integers k

$$C^\infty(M_G, \mathcal{L}_G^k) \cong C^\infty(\mathbb{T}_G \times \mathbb{T}_G, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_G^k)^W.$$

Introducing $\mathcal{H}^{(k)} \equiv C^\infty(M_G, \mathcal{L}_G^k)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)} \equiv C^\infty(\mathbb{T}_G \times \mathbb{T}_G, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_G^k)$, we of course have that

$$\mathcal{H}^{(k)} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)})^W.$$

[1]At this point, we wish however, to remark that when considering the moduli space, the non-stable bundles are ignored. As can be seen from [AGP], working with the stack of all bundles as opposed to the moduli spaces of semi-stable bundles, might effect significantly the answer exactly in genus one.

Consider $\mathbb{H}$, the upper half plane, which is the Teichmüller space for Σ, in the sense that it parametrises all marked complex structures on Σ up to natural equivalance (see also [A2] for the general torus case). The construction of Complex Quantum Chern-Simons Theory with gauge group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ outlined in [Wi2] provides an identification of the Quantum Hilbert space of this theory with the pre-Quantum Hilbert space for the theory relative to the compact group G, that is $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$. However, such an identification depends on a choice of complex structure on the Σ. The complex structure on Σ induces a real polarization on the moduli space $M_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$, the moduli space of flat $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-connection, via the Hodge star operator on Σ as described in [Wi2]. Consequently we have a trivial vector bundle $\mathcal{H}^{(k)} \times \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ with a non-trivial flat Hitchin-Witten connection. For the genus one moduli spaces the Hitchin–Witten connection $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ happens to have a simple description as follows. Fix a complex number $t = k + is$, such that k, the real part of t, is an integer. Let d be the trivial connection on the bundle $\mathcal{H}^{(k)} \times \mathbb{H}$. As Witten argues in [Wi2], if one chooses r such that

$$e^{-4r} = -\frac{k - is}{k + is},$$

then one finds that

$$e^{-r\Delta} \circ \mathrm{d} \circ e^{r\Delta} = \boldsymbol{\nabla}, \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta = \Delta_\sigma$ is the Laplace operator on M_G, which of course depends on $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}$ parametrising the family of complex structures compatible with the Goldman symplectic form on M_G, which are induced from the natural family of complex structures on Σ. This means that parallel sections of $\mathcal{H}^{(k)} \times \mathbb{H}$ are of the form

$$s_\sigma = e^{-r\Delta_\sigma} s_0$$

for any $s_0 \in C^\infty(M_G, \mathcal{L}^k)$.

The mapping class group $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on M_G and classical Chern-Simons theory allows one to lift this action to $\mathcal{L}^k$, thus one gets an induced pre-quantum action

$$\rho_k : \Gamma \to B(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}),$$

where $B(\mathcal{H}^{(k)})$ refers to the continuous operators which respect to the Frechet topology on $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$. We call this action the *pre-Quantum representation* of Γ. Note that we can also complete this to an action on the Hilbert space of L_2-sections of L^k over M_G, in which case Γ acts by bounded operators on this Hilbert space.

What we are seeking, however, are the *quantum representations* η_t which arise when we take into account the full action of Γ on the bundle $\mathcal{H}^{(k)} \times \mathbb{H}$ composed with Hitchin-Witten parallel transport $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_0,\sigma_1} \colon \left(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}, \sigma_1\right) \to \left(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}, \sigma_0\right)$. That is, we first consider the action

$$\tilde{\varphi} \colon \left(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}, \sigma\right) \to \left(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}, \varphi_* \sigma\right) \tag{2}$$

and then compose it with the parallel transport, to get a representation on $\left(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}, \sigma\right)$

$$\eta_{t,\sigma}(\varphi) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\varphi^*\sigma} \circ \tilde{\varphi} : \left(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}, \sigma\right) \to \left(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}, \sigma\right). \tag{3}$$

However, the description (1) of $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ leads to the following identification

Proposition 1. The densely defined transformation

$$e^{r\Delta_\sigma} : \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \to \mathcal{H}^{(k)}$$

conjugates ρ_k to $\eta_{t,\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}$. Thus for all $\varphi \in \Gamma$, we have that

$$\eta_{t,\sigma}(\varphi) = e^{-r\Delta_\sigma} \circ \rho_k(\varphi) \circ e^{r\Delta_\sigma} \tag{4}$$

on the domain of $e^{r\Delta_\sigma}$.

Let us consider the following generators of Γ

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad\qquad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfies the relations

$$S^2 = (ST)^3 \qquad\qquad S^4 = \mathrm{Id}\,.$$

In this paper we compute the representations ρ_k and η_t completely explicitly. We use standard in notation from Lie theory, which we briefly recall in Appendix A, where we further introduce a few quantities we will need in this paper. In particular we recall that $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{T}_G$ and that we denote by $\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}$ the finite set of $\frac{1}{k}$-scaled weights modulo the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$.
In Section 3 we present a generalization of the Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform which provides an isometry

$$Z : \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \to \left(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}\right)^W.$$

The W invariance on the right is with respect to the following action of $w \in W$

$$w \cdot f_\gamma(\theta) = f_{w\gamma}(w\theta) \qquad\qquad \text{for } \gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_{(k)},\, \theta \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{5}$$

For technical reasons, we further conjugate with a discrete Fourier transform $\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}$ (see the definition from equation (35)). We use the following notation for the corresponding pre-quantum operators action on the square integrable functions

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}_k(S) &= (Z \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}})^{-1} \circ \tilde{S} \circ (Z \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}})\,, \\ \hat{\rho}_k(T) &= (Z \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}})^{-1} \circ \tilde{T} \circ (Z \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and correspondingly for the quantum representation

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\eta}_t(S) &= (Z \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}})^{-1} \circ \eta_t(S) \circ (Z \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}})\,, \\ \hat{\eta}_t(T) &= (Z \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}})^{-1} \circ \eta_t(T) \circ (Z \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Considering the W-invariant subspace of $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}$ we get the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{(k)} &\simeq \left(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}\right)^W \\ &= \left(\mathrm{L}^2(F_0)) \otimes \overline{C}_{(k)}\right) \bigoplus \left(\mathrm{L}^2(F_0) \otimes C_{(k)}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for which we refer again to appendix A for definitions and notations.

Theorem 1. Let j and ω be complex numbers satisfying

$$j^4 = 1, \qquad\qquad \omega^3 = i^{n/2} j^{-1}. \tag{9}$$

The pre-Quantum representation $\hat{\rho}_k$ splits into the direct sum of two representations, induced by the decomposition (8)

$$\hat{\rho}_k = (\hat{\rho}'_{k,0} \otimes \hat{\rho}''_{k,0}) \oplus (\hat{\rho}'_{k,1} \otimes \hat{\rho}''_{k,1}),$$

where, for $\theta \in F_0$, $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{I}_k$ and $\alpha', \beta' \in I_k$

$$\hat{\rho}'_{k,0}(S)(f)(\theta) = j \int_{F_0} f(\tilde{\theta}) \sum_{w \in W} e^{2\pi i \left(w\theta, \tilde{\theta}\right)_k} \mathrm{d}vol_k(\tilde{\theta}),$$

$$\hat{\rho}'_{k,1}(S)(f)(\theta) = j \int_{F_0} f(\tilde{\theta}) \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{2\pi i \left(w\theta, \tilde{\theta}\right)_k} \mathrm{d}vol_k(\tilde{\theta}),$$

$$\hat{\rho}'_{k,0}(T)(f)(\theta) = \hat{\rho}'_{k,1}(T)(f)(\theta) = \omega e^{-\pi i (\theta,\theta)_k} f(\theta),$$

$$\hat{\rho}''_{k,0}(S)_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{j^{-1}}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}|}} \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{2\pi i (w\alpha,\beta)_k}$$

$$\hat{\rho}''_{k,1}(S)_{\alpha',\beta'} = \frac{j^{-1}}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}|}} \sum_{w \in W} e^{2\pi i \left(w\alpha',\beta'\right)_k}$$

$$\hat{\rho}''_{k,0}(T)_{\alpha,\beta} = \omega^{-1} e^{\pi i (\alpha,\alpha)_k} \delta_{\alpha,\beta},$$

$$\hat{\rho}''_{k,1}(S)_{\alpha',\beta'} = \omega^{-1} e^{\pi i \left(\alpha',\alpha'\right)_k} \delta_{\alpha',\beta'}.$$

Let $\mathrm{b} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\mathrm{b}| = 1$, $\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{b}) > 0$ and

$$is = k \frac{1 - \mathrm{b}^2}{1 + \mathrm{b}^2}, \tag{10}$$

Theorem 2. The quantum representation $\hat{\eta}_t$ is obtained by conjugation with an unitary operator

$$\hat{\eta}_t(\varphi) = e^{-r\hat{\Delta}_\sigma} \circ \hat{\rho}_k(\varphi) \circ e^{r\hat{\Delta}_\sigma}.$$

It decomposes itself as

$$\hat{\eta}_t = (\hat{\eta}'_{t,0} \otimes \hat{\rho}''_{k,0}) \oplus (\hat{\eta}'_{t,1} \otimes \hat{\rho}''_{k,1}).$$

For the particular choice of complex structure $\sigma = i\mathrm{b}$ the representations on $\mathrm{L}^2(F_0)$ take the following explicit integral form

$$\hat{\eta}'_{t,0}(S)(f)(\theta) = j e^{\pi(\mathrm{b}-\overline{\mathrm{b}})(\theta,\theta)_k} \int_{F_0} f(\tilde{\theta}) \sum_{w \in W} e^{2\pi i \left(w\theta,\tilde{\theta}\right)_k} e^{-\pi(\mathrm{b}-\overline{\mathrm{b}})\left(\tilde{\theta},\tilde{\theta}\right)_k} \mathrm{d}vol_k(\tilde{\theta}),$$

$$\hat{\eta}'_{t,1}(S)(f)(\theta) = j e^{\pi(\mathrm{b}-\overline{\mathrm{b}})(\theta,\theta)_k} \int_{F_0} f(\tilde{\theta}) \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{2\pi i \left(w\theta,\tilde{\theta}\right)_k} e^{-\pi(\mathrm{b}-\overline{\mathrm{b}})\left(\tilde{\theta},\tilde{\theta}\right)_k} \mathrm{d}vol_k(\tilde{\theta}),$$

$$\hat{\eta}'_{t,0}(T)(f)(\theta) = \omega i^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{\pi(\mathrm{b}-\overline{\mathrm{b}})(\theta,\theta)_k} \int_{F_0} f(\tilde{\theta}) \sum_{w \in W} e^{\pi i \left(w\theta-\tilde{\theta},w\theta-\tilde{\theta}\right)_k} e^{-\pi(\mathrm{b}-\overline{\mathrm{b}})\left(\tilde{\theta},\tilde{\theta}\right)_k} \mathrm{d}vol_k(\tilde{\theta}),$$

$$\hat{\eta}'_{t,1}(T)(f)(\theta) = \omega i^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{\pi(\mathrm{b}-\overline{\mathrm{b}})(\theta,\theta)_k} \int_{F_0} f(\tilde{\theta}) \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{\pi i \left(w\theta-\tilde{\theta},w\theta-\tilde{\theta}\right)_k} e^{-\pi(\mathrm{b}-\overline{\mathrm{b}})\left(\tilde{\theta},\tilde{\theta}\right)_k} \mathrm{d}vol_k(\tilde{\theta}),$$

In Section 4, Lemma 5, we provide the explicit expression for $e^{-r\hat{\Delta}_\sigma}$ for generic σ. In Section 5 we provide comparisons to the known result for quantum Chern–Simons theory with compact gauge group G.

2. Moduli space of flat connections and the pre-quantum line bundle

In this section we briefly recall the construction of the pre-quantum space for Chern-Simons theory. We refer to [Fr1] and [ADW] for more details. See Appendix A for notations on Lie theory. Given a closed surface Σ of genus $g = 1$, its

fundamental group is abelian, precisely

$$\pi_1(\Sigma) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let G be a compact, simple, connected and simply connected Lie group. The moduli space of flat connections is then

$$M = \mathrm{Hom}\left(\pi_1(\Sigma), G\right)/G = \left(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}\right)/W, \tag{11}$$

where $\mathbb{T}$ is the maximal torus of G and W is the Weyl group of G. We can lift the description to quotient of its tangent space

$$M = (\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t})/\left((\Lambda^R \times \Lambda^R) \rtimes W\right). \tag{12}$$

Since any principal G-bundle on Σ is trivial, we can fix a trivialization and so a G-connection $A \in \Omega(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g})$ is a one form on Σ with values in the lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, we can identify $\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}$ with a subspace of flat connections with values in $\mathfrak{t}$. The group $(\Lambda^R \times \Lambda^R) \rtimes W$ corresponds to the gauge transformations preserving this subspace of flat connections inside $\Omega(\Sigma, \mathfrak{t})$. Explicitly if x, y are local coordinates on $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, we can write $A = \theta_1 \mathrm{d}x + \theta_2 \mathrm{d}y$, where $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathfrak{t}$ and identify A with $\theta_1 \oplus \theta_2 \in \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}$. Recall the preferred inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_1$ on $\mathfrak{t}$ with the normalization specified in Appendix A. The Atiyah-Bott [AtB] symplectic form on $\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}$ is given by

$$\omega(A, A') \equiv 2\pi \int_\Sigma \left(A \wedge A'\right)_1 = 2\pi \left((\theta_1,\theta_2')_1 - (\theta_1',\theta_2)_1\right), \tag{13}$$

where $A = \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$ and $A' = \theta_1' \oplus \theta_2'$.

Let $\{\mathsf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathsf{b}_n\}$ be a basis for $\mathfrak{t}$. We can write coordinates for $A = \theta_1 \mathrm{d}x + \theta_2 \mathrm{d}y$ as

$$\theta_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \mathsf{b}_j, \qquad\qquad \theta_2 = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \mathsf{b}_j. \tag{14}$$

A complex structure on Σ is equivalent to a choice of holomorphic coordinate $w = x + \tau y$ with $\mathrm{Im}\,\tau > 0$. This complex structure induces a complex structure $J = -*$ on M, where $*$ is the hodge star operator. J is compatible with ω and we can write holomorphic coordinates on M

$$z_j = u_j + \sigma v_j \qquad\qquad \overline{z}_j = u_j + \overline{\sigma} v_j \tag{15}$$

where

$$\sigma = -\frac{1}{\tau}.$$

The action of $w \in W$ on $\theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$ is given by

$$w(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \left(\sum_j u_j w(\mathsf{b}_j), \sum_j v_j w(\mathsf{b}_j)\right) \tag{16}$$

Let $C^{(k)} = (C^{(k)}_{jl})_{j,l=1,\ldots,n}$ be the matrix defined as $C^{(k)}_{jl} \equiv (\mathsf{b}_j,\mathsf{b}_l)_k$. The symplectic form ω takes the following explicit form

$$\omega = 2\pi \sum_{j,l} C^{(1)}_{jl} \mathrm{d}u_j \wedge \mathrm{d}v_l. \tag{17}$$

The level 1 pre-Quantum line bundle $\mathcal{L} \to M$ defined by classical Chern-Simons theory (see [Je, Fr1, ADW]) can be constructed starting with the trivial bundle

$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \equiv \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{C}$. We lift the action of $\Lambda^R \times \Lambda^R$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ as follows, for $(A, \zeta) \in \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda^R \times \Lambda^R$,

$$(A, \zeta) \cdot \lambda = (A + \lambda, e_\lambda(A)\zeta), \tag{18}$$

$$\text{where } e_\lambda(A) \equiv (-1)^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)_1} \exp -\frac{i}{2}\left(\omega(A, \lambda)\right). \tag{19}$$

This defines a line bundle $\pi^*\mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$, where π is the projection onto M. The first Chern class of $\pi^*\mathcal{L}$ is $c_1(\pi^*\mathcal{L}) = \left[\frac{\omega}{2\pi}\right] \in H^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z})$.
To lift the action of W to $\pi^*\mathcal{L}$ one takes the trivial lift to $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$

$$(A, \zeta) \cdot w = (w(A), \zeta), \text{ for every } w \in W. \tag{20}$$

It is simple to prove that such maps are equivariant with respect to the action of $\Lambda^R \times \Lambda^R$ (see [Je] Lemma A.2). This completes the description of the Chern–Simons line bundle $\mathcal{L} \to M$.

Remark 1. The e_λ are called *multipliers* in the literature. The factor $(-1)^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)_1}$ is usually referred as a *theta–characteristic* and it is not uniquely defined by the Chern–Simons action. In fact it is irrelevant in the definition of $\mathcal{L} \to M$ but a choice of it is needed to lift it to $\pi^*\mathcal{L}$ (see [Je]).

The line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ support a preferred pre-Quantum connection, given explicitly on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ by

$$\nabla = \mathrm{d} + \alpha \tag{21}$$

$$\alpha_A(X) = \omega(A, X), \text{ for any } X \in T_A(\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}). \tag{22}$$

This connection has curvature $F_\nabla = -i\omega$ and is compatible with the actions (18) and (20), therefore it descends to a well defined connection on $\mathcal{L}$.

The line bundle $\mathcal{L}^k \equiv \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ can be easily obtained from the description above substituting the inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_1$ with the k–scaled inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_k$.

Consider the space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)} = C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \pi^*\mathcal{L}^k)$. We are interested in the level k quantum space

$$\mathcal{H}^{(k)} \equiv C^\infty(M, \mathcal{L}^k) = C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \pi^*\mathcal{L}^k)^W$$

of Weyl group invariant smooth sections of $\pi^*\mathcal{L}^{2k}$.
The inner product given by

$$\varphi \cdot \psi(p) = \varphi(p)\overline{\psi(p)}, \qquad p \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \quad \varphi, \psi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}, \tag{23}$$

is parallel with respect to our choice of ∇. This allows us to define an inner product on $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}$ as

$$\langle\!\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle\!\rangle = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{T}\times\mathbb{T}} \varphi \cdot \overline{\psi}\, \mathrm{d}vol_k, \qquad p \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \quad \varphi, \psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}. \tag{24}$$

Here $\mathrm{d}vol_k$ is the volume form induced by the inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_k$.

An element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ acts by pull back on M mapping the equivalence class or representations $[\rho] \in M$ to $[\rho \circ \gamma]$. Classical Chern-Simons theory enables us to lift the action to the line bundle $\mathcal{L}^k$ to get an operator

$$\tilde{\gamma} \colon \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(k)}, \tag{25}$$

by defining, on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$

$$(A,\zeta)\cdot\gamma = (\gamma^*(A),\zeta). \tag{26}$$

It can be easily shown that this lift is equivariant with respect to $\Lambda^R\times\Lambda^R$ (see [Je]). This is not sufficient to define a complex quantum representation of Γ, indeed an identification of the $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ quantum Hilbert space with $\mathcal{H}$ depends on a choice of complex structure τ on Σ. Such a choice is not invariant under the action of Γ, indeed $\gamma\in\Gamma$ acts on $\mathbb{H}$ via Mobius transformations. Nevertheless we can compute the action of $\tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{T}$ on $\mathfrak{t}\oplus\mathfrak{t}$ as follows

$$\tilde{S}\psi(\theta_1,\theta_2) = \psi(\theta_2,-\theta_1) \tag{27}$$

$$\tilde{T}\psi(\theta_1,\theta_2) = \psi(\theta_1,\theta_1+\theta_2). \tag{28}$$

We remark that these operators commute with the action of the Weyl group and are equivariant with respect to the action of $\Lambda^R\times\Lambda^R$ (see proof of Lemma A.2 in [Je]).

3. Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform on lattices.

Let $(E,(\cdot,\cdot))$ by a fixed euclidean space of dimension $\dim E = n < \infty$. Let $\Lambda\subset E$ be a full-rank lattice. Denote $\mathbb{T}_E$ the quotient E/Λ. Let $L\to\mathbb{T}_E\oplus\mathbb{T}_E$ be the line bundle defined by the following multipliers

$$e_{\lambda_1\oplus\lambda_2}(x\oplus y) = (-1)^{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}e^{-\pi i((x,\lambda_2)-(\lambda_1,y,))} \tag{29}$$

Define the following notation for Fourier kernels

$$\langle x,y\rangle \equiv e^{2\pi i(x,y)} \tag{30}$$

and define the dual $\Lambda^*\subset E$ as the lattice

$$\Lambda^* \equiv \{\gamma\in E:\ \langle\lambda,\gamma\rangle = 1,\ \forall\,\gamma\in\Lambda\}\,. \tag{31}$$

We say that Λ is *integral* if $\Lambda\subseteq\Lambda^*$. In such case $\mathcal{Z}\equiv\Lambda^*/\Lambda$ is a well defined finite abelian group.

Suppose now Λ is integral. The space $\mathrm{L}^2(E)\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ has an inner product defined as follows. Let $f_\gamma, g_\gamma\in\mathrm{L}^2(E)$ for $\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}$ be square integrable functions on E, for

$$\mathsf{f} = (f_\gamma)_{\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\,,\ \text{and}\ \mathsf{g} = (g_\gamma)_{\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\ \in\mathrm{L}^2(E)\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}}$$

we define

$$\langle\!\langle \mathsf{f},\mathsf{g}\rangle\!\rangle = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\int_E f_\gamma\overline{g_\gamma}\mathrm{d}vol, \tag{32}$$

where the volume form $\mathrm{d}vol$ is specified by the inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)$. We write

$$\mathrm{Vol}\Lambda = \int_{F_\Lambda}\mathrm{d}vol$$

for the volume of a fundamental domain $F_\Lambda\subset E$ for the action of Λ.
On the space of sections $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{T}_E\times\mathbb{T}_E, L)$ we consider the inner product

$$\langle\!\langle\psi,\varphi\rangle\!\rangle = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}\,\Lambda}\int_{F_\Lambda\times F_\Lambda}\psi(\theta_1,\theta_2)\overline{\varphi(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\mathrm{d}vol(\theta_1,\theta_2) \tag{33}$$

where the measure is the product measure on $E\times E$.

Let $S(E)$ be the space of Scwartz functions on E. The following is the *Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform on the lattice* Λ.

Theorem 3. We have an isomorphism

$$Z\colon S(E)\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}}\longrightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_E\times\mathbb{T}_E,L)$$

given by

$$Z(\mathsf{f})(\theta_1,\theta_2)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}|}}\left\langle -\theta_1/2,\theta_2\right\rangle\sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^*}f_\gamma(\theta_1+\lambda)\left\langle -\lambda,\theta_2\right\rangle\left\langle -\lambda,\gamma\right\rangle, \tag{34}$$

with inverse

$$Z^{-1}(s)(\theta,\gamma)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}|}}\sum_{\hat{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\left\langle\gamma,\hat{\gamma}\right\rangle\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\int_{F_\Lambda}s(\theta-\hat{\gamma},\tilde{\theta})\left\langle\theta+\hat{\gamma},\tilde{\theta}/2\right\rangle\mathrm{d}vol(\tilde{\theta}).$$

It satisfies the following unitarity property

$$\langle\!\langle Z(\mathsf{f}),Z(\mathsf{g})\rangle\!\rangle=\langle\!\langle\mathsf{f},\mathsf{g}\rangle\!\rangle$$

thus Z extends to an isometry between $\mathrm{L}^2(E)\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{T}_E\times\mathbb{T}_E,L)$.

Proof. We write $\mathrm{d}\theta$ instead of $\mathrm{d}vol(\theta)$ in the computations.

$$\begin{aligned}
Z\left(Z^{-1}(s)\right)(\theta_1,\theta_2)&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}|}}\left\langle -\theta_1/2,\theta_2\right\rangle\sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^*}Z^{-1}(s)(\theta_1+\lambda,\gamma)\left\langle -\lambda,\theta_2\right\rangle\left\langle -\lambda,\gamma\right\rangle\\
&=\frac{1}{|\mathcal{Z}|}\left\langle -\theta_1/2,\theta_2\right\rangle\sum_{\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^*}\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\left\langle -\lambda,\theta_2\right\rangle\sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\left\langle\gamma,\hat{\gamma}-\lambda\right\rangle\\
&\quad\times\int_{F_\Lambda}s(\theta_1+\lambda-\hat{\gamma},\tilde{\theta})\left\langle\theta_1+\lambda+\hat{\gamma},\tilde{\theta}/2\right\rangle\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}\\
&=\left\langle -\theta_1/2,\theta_2\right\rangle\sum_{\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\sum_{\tilde{\lambda}\in\Lambda}\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\int_{F_\Lambda}s(\theta_1+\tilde{\lambda},\tilde{\theta})\left\langle\theta_1+\tilde{\lambda}+2\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\theta}/2\right\rangle\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}\\
&\quad\times\left\langle -\tilde{\lambda}-\tilde{\gamma},\theta_2\right\rangle\\
&=\left\langle -\theta_1/2,\theta_2\right\rangle\sum_{\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\sum_{\tilde{\lambda}\in\Lambda}\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\int_{F_\Lambda}s(\theta_1,\tilde{\theta})\left\langle\frac{\theta_1}{2}+\tilde{\lambda}+\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\theta}\right\rangle\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}\\
&\quad\times\left\langle -\tilde{\lambda}-\tilde{\gamma},\theta_2\right\rangle\\
&=s(\theta_1,\theta_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equation is given by the Fourier series properties of the Λ-periodic function $s(\theta_1,\tilde{\theta})\left\langle\theta_1/2,\tilde{\theta}\right\rangle$ in the variable $\tilde{\theta}$.

To establish the unitarity of the transform, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\!\langle Z(\mathsf{f}),Z(\mathsf{g})\rangle\!\rangle &= \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\int_{F_\Lambda\times F_\Lambda} Z(\mathsf{f})(\theta_1,\theta_2)\overline{Z(\mathsf{g})(\theta_1,\theta_2)}\mathrm{d}\theta_1\mathrm{d}\theta_2\\
&= \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\frac{1}{|\mathcal{Z}|}\sum_{\gamma,\hat\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\sum_{\lambda,\hat\lambda\in\Lambda^*}\langle -\lambda,\gamma\rangle\left\langle\hat\lambda,\hat\gamma\right\rangle\int_{F_\Lambda}\mathsf{f}(\theta_1+\lambda,\gamma)\overline{\mathsf{g}(\theta_1+\hat\lambda,\hat\gamma)}\mathrm{d}\theta_1\\
&\quad\times\int_{F_\Lambda}\left\langle\hat\lambda-\lambda,\theta_2\right\rangle\mathrm{d}\theta_2\\
&= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Z}|}\sum_{\gamma,\hat\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^*}\langle -\lambda,\gamma\rangle\langle\lambda,\hat\gamma\rangle\int_{F_\Lambda}\mathsf{f}(\theta_1+\lambda,\gamma)\overline{\mathsf{g}(\theta_1+\lambda,\hat\gamma)}\mathrm{d}\theta_1\\
&= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Z}|}\sum_{\gamma,\tilde\gamma,\hat\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\sum_{\tilde\lambda,\in\Lambda}\langle -\tilde\gamma,\gamma\rangle\langle\tilde\gamma,\hat\gamma\rangle\int_{F_\Lambda}\mathsf{f}(\theta_1+\tilde\lambda+\tilde\gamma,\gamma)\overline{\mathsf{g}(\theta_1+\tilde\lambda+\tilde\gamma,\hat\gamma)}\mathrm{d}\theta_1\\
&= \sum_{\tilde\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\int_E\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}|}}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\mathsf{f}(\theta_1,\gamma)\langle -\tilde\gamma,\gamma\rangle\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}|}}\sum_{\hat\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\overline{\mathsf{g}(\theta_1,\hat\gamma)}\langle\tilde\gamma,\hat\gamma\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\theta_1\\
&= \sum_{\tilde\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}\int_E\mathsf{f}(\theta_1,\tilde\gamma)\overline{\mathsf{g}(\theta_1,\tilde\gamma)}\mathrm{d}\theta_1
\end{aligned}$$

□

We define the following auxiliary operators on $\mathrm{L}^2(E)\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}}$

$$\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathsf{f})(\theta,\gamma)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}|}}\sum_{\hat\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}}f_\gamma(\theta)\langle\gamma,\hat\gamma\rangle\qquad\mathsf{G}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathsf{f})(\theta,\gamma)=\langle\gamma/2,\gamma\rangle\,\mathsf{f}(\theta,\gamma)\tag{35}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_E(\mathsf{f})(\theta,\gamma)=\int_E f_\gamma(\tilde\theta)\left\langle\theta,\tilde\theta\right\rangle\mathrm{d}vol(\theta)\qquad\mathcal{G}_E(\mathsf{f})(\theta,\gamma)=\langle\theta/2,\theta\rangle\,(\mathsf{f})(\theta,\gamma).\tag{36}$$

Let $\tilde S$ and $\tilde T$ be two operators acting on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{T}_E\times\mathbb{T}_E,L)$ as expressed in (27-28). Define the following operators acting on $\mathrm{L}^2(E)\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}}$

$$\hat S=(Z\circ\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}})^{-1}\circ\tilde S\circ(Z\circ\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}),\qquad\hat T=(Z\circ\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}})^{-1}\circ\tilde T\circ(Z\circ\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}).\tag{37}$$

They can be explicitly computed as

Proposition 2.

$$\hat S(\mathsf{f})(\theta,\gamma)=\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{-1}\circ\mathcal{F}_E(\mathsf{f})(\theta,\gamma),\tag{38}$$

$$\hat T(\mathsf{f})(\theta,\gamma)=\mathsf{G}_{\mathcal{Z}}\circ\mathcal{G}_E^{-1}(\mathsf{f})(\theta,\gamma).\tag{39}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^{-1}\circ\tilde{S}\circ Z(\mathsf{f})(\theta,\gamma) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}|}}\sum_{\hat{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\langle\gamma,\hat{\gamma}\rangle\,\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\int_{F_\Lambda} S(Z(\mathsf{f}))(\theta-\hat{\gamma},\tilde{\theta})\left\langle\theta+\hat{\gamma},\tilde{\theta}/2\right\rangle \mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}|}}\sum_{\hat{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\langle\gamma,\hat{\gamma}\rangle\,\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\int_{F_\Lambda} Z(\mathsf{f})(\tilde{\theta},-\theta+\hat{\gamma})\left\langle\theta+\hat{\gamma},\tilde{\theta}/2\right\rangle \mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}\\
&= \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\frac{1}{|\mathcal{Z}|}\sum_{\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^*}\int_{F_\Lambda}\mathsf{f}(\tilde{\theta}+\lambda,\tilde{\gamma})\left\langle\theta,\tilde{\theta}\right\rangle\langle\lambda,\theta-\tilde{\gamma}\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}\\
&\qquad\times\sum_{\hat{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\langle\hat{\gamma},\gamma-\lambda\rangle\\
&= \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\sum_{\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\sum_{\tilde{\lambda}\in\Lambda}\int_{F_\Lambda}\mathsf{f}(\tilde{\theta}+\tilde{\lambda}+\gamma,\tilde{\gamma})\left\langle\theta,\tilde{\theta}+\tilde{\lambda}+\gamma\right\rangle\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}\,\langle\gamma,-\tilde{\gamma}\rangle\\
&= \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\sum_{\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\int_{E}\mathsf{f}(\tilde{\theta},\tilde{\gamma})\left\langle\theta,\tilde{\theta}\right\rangle\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}\,\langle\gamma,-\tilde{\gamma}\rangle\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $|\mathcal{Z}|=(\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda)^2$ we get the first equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^{-1}\circ\tilde{T}\circ Z(\mathsf{f})(\theta,\gamma) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}|}}\sum_{\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\langle\gamma,\tilde{\gamma}\rangle\,\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\int_{F_\Lambda} T(Z(\mathsf{f}))(\theta-\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\theta})\left\langle\theta+\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\theta}/2\right\rangle\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}|}}\sum_{\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\langle\gamma,\tilde{\gamma}\rangle\,\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\int_{F_\Lambda} Z(\mathsf{f})(\theta-\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\theta}+\theta-\tilde{\gamma})\left\langle\theta+\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\theta}/2\right\rangle\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}\\
&= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Z}|}\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\sum_{\hat{\gamma},\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\langle\gamma,\tilde{\gamma}\rangle\int_{F_\Lambda}\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^*}\mathsf{f}(\theta-\tilde{\gamma}+\lambda,\hat{\gamma})\left\langle-\frac{\theta-\tilde{\gamma}}{2},\theta-\tilde{\gamma}+\tilde{\theta}\right\rangle\\
&\qquad\times\left\langle-\lambda,\theta-\tilde{\gamma}+\tilde{\theta}\right\rangle\langle-\lambda,\hat{\gamma}\rangle\left\langle\theta+\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\theta}/2\right\rangle\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}\\
&= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Z}|}\sum_{\hat{\gamma},\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\langle\gamma,\tilde{\gamma}\rangle\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^*}\mathsf{f}(\theta-\tilde{\gamma}+\lambda,\hat{\gamma})\left\langle-\frac{\theta-\tilde{\gamma}}{2},\theta-\tilde{\gamma}\right\rangle\\
&\qquad\times\langle-\lambda,\theta-\tilde{\gamma}\rangle\langle-\lambda,\hat{\gamma}\rangle\,\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\Lambda}\int_{F_\Lambda}\left\langle\tilde{\theta},\tilde{\gamma}-\lambda\right\rangle\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}\\
&= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Z}|}\sum_{\hat{\gamma},\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\langle\gamma,\tilde{\gamma}\rangle\,\mathsf{f}(\theta,\hat{\gamma})\left\langle-\frac{\theta-\tilde{\gamma}}{2},\theta-\tilde{\gamma}\right\rangle\langle-\tilde{\gamma},\theta-\tilde{\gamma}\rangle\langle-\tilde{\gamma},\hat{\gamma}\rangle\\
&= \langle-\theta/2,\theta\rangle\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}|}}\sum_{\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\langle\gamma,\tilde{\gamma}\rangle\left\langle\frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{2},\tilde{\gamma}\right\rangle\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}|}}\sum_{\hat{\gamma}\in\mathcal{Z}}\mathsf{f}(\theta,\hat{\gamma})\,\langle-\tilde{\gamma},\hat{\gamma}\rangle\\
&= \mathcal{G}_E^{-1}\circ\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}\circ\mathsf{G}_{\mathcal{Z}}\circ\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{-1}(\mathsf{f})(\theta,\gamma)
\end{aligned}$$

□

It is evident that both $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{T}$ have a (unique up to a scalar) tensor product decomposition corresponding to $\mathrm{L}^2(E)\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. We write this precisely in the next proposition

Proposition 3. Let j and ω be complex numbers satisfying

$$j^4=1,\qquad\qquad \omega^3=i^{n/2}j^{-1}. \tag{40}$$

The operators $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{T}$ generate a representation of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ as endomorphisms of $\mathrm{L}^2(E)\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. For any possible choice of j and ω there is a tensor product decomposition

$$\hat{S}=\hat{S}'\otimes\hat{S}'' \qquad \hat{T}=\hat{T}'\otimes\hat{T}'' \tag{41}$$

$$\hat{S}'=j\mathcal{F}_E \qquad \hat{T}'=\omega\mathcal{G}_E^{-1} \tag{42}$$

$$\hat{S}''=j^{-1}\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}} \qquad \hat{T}''=\omega^{-1}\mathsf{G}_{\mathcal{Z}} \tag{43}$$

where $\hat{S}'$ and $\hat{T}'$ (resp. $\hat{S}''$ and $\hat{T}''$) generates a representation on $\mathrm{L}^2(E)$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}}$)

Proof. The proof is just a direct verification of the relations of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. □

We now apply these computations to the genus 1 Chern–Simons theory presented in the previous section (see also Appendix A for further notation). The Euclidean space is $(\mathfrak{t},(\cdot,\cdot)_k)$ while the line bundle is $\pi^*\mathcal{L}^k\to\mathbb{T}\times\mathbb{T}$. The integral lattice we consider is Λ^R and its dual will be $\Lambda^w_{(k)}$. Their quotient is the finite abelian group $\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}$. The operator $Z\circ\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}$ defines an isometry between $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}$ and $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t})\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}$.

In this way, Proposition 3 provides an explicit description of a lift of the action of the mapping class group Γ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}$.
Consider the following action of the Weyl group W on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t})\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}$

$$\hat{w}\cdot\mathsf{f}(\theta,\gamma)\equiv f(w(\theta),w(\gamma)),\ \forall\, w\in W. \tag{44}$$

The operator $(Z\circ\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}})$ is equivariant with respect to this action and the one on $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}$. Therefore it defines an isometry

$$Z\circ\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}\colon\mathcal{H}^{(k)}\longrightarrow\left(S(\mathfrak{t})\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}\right)^W. \tag{45}$$

The action (44) behaves well with respect to the tensor product decomposition $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t})\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}$. Therefore it can be written as the tensor product $\hat{w}=\hat{w}'\otimes\hat{w}''$ of the two actions

$$\hat{w}'(f)(\theta)=f(w(\theta)) \qquad \hat{w}''(x)(\gamma)=x(w(\gamma)). \tag{46}$$

On a W–invariant vector $f\otimes x$, i.e. $\hat{w}\cdot f\otimes x=f\otimes x$, there will exist $\lambda_w\in\mathbb{C}^*$ such that

$$\hat{w}'(f)(\theta)=\lambda_w f(\theta) \qquad \hat{w}''(x)(\gamma)=\lambda_w^{-1}x(\gamma). \tag{47}$$

Since W is generated by elements of order 2, $\lambda_w\in\{1,-1\}$. In fact λ_w has to be either the identical character 1 or the alternating character $\det(w)$. In the end, a vector $f\otimes x$ is W invariant if and only if f and x are both invariant or both anti-invariant for the actions of $\hat{w}'$ and $\hat{w}''$ respectively. With the notation from Appendix A we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^{(k)}&\simeq\left(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t})\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}\right)^W\\&=\left(\mathrm{L}^2_{inv}(\mathfrak{t})\otimes\overline{C}_{(k)}\right)\bigoplus\left(\mathrm{L}^2_{anti}(\mathfrak{t})\otimes C_{(k)}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Moreover, all the operators involved in the description of the action of the mapping class group from proposition 3 are invariant with respect to the action (44), so they preserves the whole decomposition (48).

On tensors $f \otimes x$, and $g \otimes y \in \mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}$ the inner product $\langle\!\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle\!\rangle$ from (32) factor into two inner products

$$\langle\!\langle f,g \rangle\!\rangle_{\mathfrak{t}} \equiv \int_{\mathfrak{t}} f(\theta)\overline{g(\theta)}\mathrm{d}vol_k(\theta), \qquad \langle\!\langle x,y \rangle\!\rangle_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} \equiv \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} x(\gamma)\overline{y(\gamma)} \tag{49}$$

We can use the second one, together with the basis from (88) and (89), to compute the matrix elements of the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ expressed in Proposition 3, on the spaces $\overline{C}_{(k)}$ and $C_{(k)}$

Lemma 1.

$$\langle\!\langle e_\alpha, j^{-1}\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} e_\beta \rangle\!\rangle_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} = \frac{j^{-1}}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}|}} \sum_{w \in W} \langle w\alpha,\beta \rangle, \qquad \langle\!\langle e_\alpha, \omega^{-1}\mathsf{G}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} e_\beta \rangle\!\rangle_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} = \omega^{-1} \left\langle -\frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha \right\rangle \delta_{\alpha,\beta},$$

$$\langle\!\langle \tilde{e}_\alpha, \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} \tilde{e}_\beta \rangle\!\rangle_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} = \frac{j^{-1}}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}|}} \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) \langle w\alpha,\beta \rangle, \quad \langle\!\langle \tilde{e}_\alpha, \omega^{-1}\mathsf{G}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} \tilde{e}_\beta \rangle\!\rangle_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} = \omega^{-1} \left\langle -\frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha \right\rangle \delta_{\alpha,\beta},$$

$$\langle\!\langle \tilde{e}_\alpha, \omega^{-1}\mathsf{G}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} e_\beta \rangle\!\rangle_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} = \langle\!\langle \tilde{e}_\alpha, \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} e_\beta \rangle\!\rangle_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} = 0.$$

Proof. This is a direct verification from the definitions. □

Proof of Theorem 1 Lemma 1 together with Proposition 3 provides a proof for the decomposition and explicit formulas of $\hat{\rho}_k$ in Theorem 2. □

Before proceeding with a review of the genus 1 Hitchin-Witten connection, we describe the pre-quantum connection ∇ from equation (21), as an explicit differential operators acting on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}$. Let namely $\hat{\nabla}_X = (Z \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}})^{-1} \circ \nabla_X \circ (Z \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}})$ for any $X \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, T(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}))$. Define the following operator

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\sigma,j} \colon S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} &\longrightarrow S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} \\ \mathsf{f}(\theta,\gamma) &\mapsto \mathrm{d}f_\gamma\left[\mathsf{b}_j\right](\theta) + 2\pi i\, \overline{\sigma}^{-1} \left(\mathsf{b}_j,\theta\right)_k f_\gamma(\theta) \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Notice that theses operators depend on the basis $\{\mathsf{b}_j\}_j$ of $\mathfrak{t}$.

From now on, given a connection ∇ and a local coordinate function u we will write ∇_u in place of $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$. Similarly we will write ∂_u in place of $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$. We have that

Lemma 2. Let u_j and v_j, $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}$, z_j and $\overline{z}_j$, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ be defined as in (14) and (15). We have that

$$\hat{\nabla}_{u_j} f_\gamma(\theta) = \mathrm{d}f_\gamma\left[\mathsf{b}_j\right](\theta) \qquad \hat{\nabla}_{v_j} f_j(\theta) = -2\pi i \left(\mathsf{b}_j,\theta\right)_k f_\gamma(\theta) \tag{51}$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_{z_j} f_j(\theta) = \frac{\overline{\sigma}}{\overline{\sigma} - \sigma} D_{\sigma,j} f_\gamma(\theta) \qquad \hat{\nabla}_{\overline{z}_j} f_\gamma(\theta) = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - \overline{\sigma}} D_{\overline{\sigma},j} f_\gamma(\theta) \tag{52}$$

Proof. This is a simple verification using the explicit formulas for ∇ and Z. □

We remark that the pre-quantum connection $\hat{\nabla}$ decomposes under the tensor decomposition $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}$ and it is trivial in the second factor. In particular the Hitchin–Witten parallel transport does not affect the seond factor.

4. The Hitchin-Witten Connection in genus 1

The quantum Hilbert space for Chern-Simons theory with gauge group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be identified with the pre-quantum Hilbert space for Chern Simons theory with gauge group G, as explained in [Wi3]. This identification, however, depends on a choice of a complex structure on the surface Σ. In genus 1 this means that there is a trivial bundle

$$\mathbb{H} \times \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H} \tag{53}$$

and the identification between the fibers is obtained through parallel transport with the Hitchin-Witten connection $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ defined in [Wi3] for genus g surfaces and in [AG2] in a more general setting. This connection is in this case flat, so the identifications between different quantum spaces will be well defined. The explicit formula for genus 1 is

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\partial_\sigma} = \partial_\sigma + \frac{1}{2t}\Delta_G$$
$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\partial_{\overline{\sigma}}} = \partial_{\overline{\sigma}} - \frac{1}{2\overline{t}}\Delta_{\overline{G}}$$

where σ is the holomorphic coordinate for $\mathbb{H}$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ with $t = k + is$. The operators Δ_G and $\Delta_{\overline{G}}$ are second order differential operators on the line bundle $\mathcal{L}^k$ defined in [AB] and [AG2]. Explicitly, for genus 1, we have that

$$\Delta_G = \frac{i}{\pi}\sum_{p,q=1}^{n} C^{p,q}_{(1)} \nabla_{z_p}\nabla_{z_q} \qquad\qquad \Delta_{\overline{G}} = -\frac{i}{\pi}\sum_{p,q=1}^{n} C^{p,q}_{(1)} \nabla_{\overline{z}_p}\nabla_{\overline{z}_q}$$

where $C^{p,q}_{(k)}$ is the p,q entry of the inverse matrix of $C^{(k)}_{l,m} = (\mathsf{b}_l, \mathsf{b}_m)_k$.

Proposition 4. Suppose $s \in \mathbb{R}$, φ, $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^{(k)}$ and $\langle\!\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle\!\rangle : \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \times \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \to \mathbb{C}$ as in (24). We then have that

$$\mathrm{d}\langle\!\langle \varphi,\psi \rangle\!\rangle = \langle\!\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi,\psi \rangle\!\rangle + \langle\!\langle \varphi,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\psi \rangle\!\rangle.$$

Proof. Partial integration together with the hypothesis $s \in \mathbb{R}$ gives

$$\langle\!\langle \varphi, \frac{1}{2t}\Delta_G\psi \rangle\!\rangle = \langle\!\langle \frac{1}{2\overline{t}}\Delta_{\overline{G}}\varphi,\psi \rangle\!\rangle. \tag{54}$$

A direct computation of the sum $\langle\!\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi,\psi \rangle\!\rangle + \langle\!\langle \varphi,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\psi \rangle\!\rangle$ using the equation above gives the result. □

Let us define the Laplace operator operator

$$\Delta_\sigma = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\sigma - \overline{\sigma})\sum_{p,q=1}^{n} C^{p,q}_{(1)}\left(\nabla_{z_p}\nabla_{\overline{z}_q} + \nabla_{\overline{z}_p}\nabla_{z_q}\right) \tag{55}$$

which clearly dependent on the complex structure determined by $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}$.

Lemma 3. On $\mathcal{H}^{(k)} \times \mathbb{H}$, the following hold true

$$\left[\nabla_{z_p}, \nabla_{\overline{z}_q}\right] = \frac{2\pi i C^{(k)}_{p,q}}{\sigma - \overline{\sigma}} \tag{56}$$

$$\left[\partial_\sigma, \nabla_{z_p}\right] = -\left[\partial_\sigma, \nabla_{\overline{z}_p}\right] = \frac{-1}{\sigma - \overline{\sigma}} \nabla_{z_p}, \tag{57}$$

$$\left[\partial_{\overline{\sigma}}, \nabla_{\overline{z}_p}\right] = -\left[\partial_{\overline{\sigma}}, \nabla_{z_p}\right] = \frac{-1}{\overline{\sigma} - \sigma} \nabla_{\overline{z}_p} \tag{58}$$

$$[\partial_\sigma, \Delta_\sigma] = \Delta_G, \qquad [\partial_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Delta_\sigma] = \Delta_{\overline{G}} \tag{59}$$

$$[\Delta_\sigma, \Delta_G] = 4k\Delta_G, \qquad [\Delta_\sigma, \Delta_{\overline{G}}] = -4k\Delta_{\overline{G}} \tag{60}$$

Proof. The first commutator follows from the explicit curvature of ∇. The others follows from iterations of the first one or from the explicit dependence of z_p on σ. □

Lemma 4. Let x, y and z be three elements of a Lie algebra satisfying the relations $[x,y] = z$ and $[y,z] = az$ for a central a. Suppose that the formal exponential $e^y = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{y^k}{k!}$ is well defined. Then we have

$$[x, e^y] = \frac{z}{a}\left(e^{y+a} - e^y\right)$$

As was noted by Witten [Wi2], Lemma 4 together with Lemma 3 gives us the following conjugation rule

$$e^{-r\Delta_\sigma} \circ \mathrm{d} \circ e^{r\Delta_\sigma} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \tag{61}$$

where r is chosen so that

$$e^{-4kr} = -\frac{k - is}{k + is}. \tag{62}$$

In particular, equation (1) implies

Proposition 5. For every $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^{(k)}$ independent on the complex structure of M, the section

$$e^{-r\Delta}\psi$$

of the vector bundle (53) is parallel with respect to $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$.

Given $\gamma \in \Gamma$, its pre-quantum action on $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$ was defined in (27), however when we look at the action on the whole bundle $\mathcal{H}^{(k)} \times \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$, γ acts on $\mathbb{H}$ by γ_* as pull-back via the Mobius transformation γ^{-1}. We will then need to compose $\tilde{\gamma}$ with the parallel transport $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\gamma_*\sigma}$ of the pre-quantum action with the Hitchin-Witten connection from $\gamma_*\sigma$ back to σ. By the results in Proposition 5, we have that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_0,\sigma_1}\psi_{\sigma_1} = e^{-r\Delta_{\sigma_0}} e^{r\Delta_{\sigma_1}} \psi_{\sigma_1}, \tag{63}$$

so

$$\eta_t(\varphi) = e^{-r\Delta_\sigma} e^{r\Delta_{\varphi_*\sigma}} \circ \rho_k(\varphi). \tag{64}$$

If $w = x + \tau y$ are holomorphic coordinates for the surface Σ, then we have that

$$S_*\tau = -\frac{1}{\tau} \qquad T_*\tau = \tau - 1,$$

and recalling that the holomorphic coordinate $z_p = u_p + \sigma v_p$ on M are related with τ by $\sigma = -\frac{1}{\tau}$, we get that

$$S_*\sigma = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \qquad\qquad T_*\sigma = \frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma}.$$

However the Laplace operator is itself is mapping class group invariant, as one can directly verify that

$$\tilde{T} \circ \Delta_\sigma = \Delta_{T_*\sigma} \circ \tilde{T}, \qquad\qquad \tilde{S} \circ \Delta_\sigma = \Delta_{S_*\sigma} \circ \tilde{S}. \tag{65}$$

For any $\varphi \in \Gamma$ we obtain the equation

$$e^{-r\Delta_\sigma} e^{r\Delta_{\varphi_*\sigma}} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = e^{-r\Delta_\sigma} \circ \tilde{\varphi} \circ e^{r\Delta_\sigma} \tag{66}$$

and this proves Proposition 1.

Let $\hat{\Delta}_\sigma \equiv (Z \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}})^{-1} \circ \Delta_\sigma \circ (Z \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{Z}})$. We remark that, as the connection $\hat{\nabla}$ acts as the identity on the second factor of the tensor product $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}}$, we can consider $\hat{\Delta}_\sigma$ as an operator on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t})$ alone.

Proposition 6. We have that

$$\hat{\Delta}_\sigma = nk + \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{p,q=1}^{n} \frac{\sigma\overline{\sigma}}{\overline{\sigma} - \sigma} C^{p,q}_{(1)} D_{\sigma,p}\, D_{\overline{\sigma},q}. \tag{67}$$

For every multi-index $l = (l_1, \dots l_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$, of length $|l| = l_1 + \dots l_n$ we write

$$D_{\sigma,l} \equiv D^{l_1}_{\sigma,1} \circ \cdots \circ D^{l_n}_{\sigma,n}$$

Then the set

$$\left\{ v_l(\theta,\sigma) \equiv D_{\sigma,l}(v)(\theta,\sigma) \ \in S(\mathfrak{t}) \ : \ l \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0},\, v(\theta,\sigma) := e^{-\pi i (\theta,\theta)_k/\sigma} \right\}$$

is a complete set of eigenvectors for $\hat{\Delta}_\sigma$ with corresponding eigenvalues

$$\hat{\Delta}_\sigma v_l(\theta,\sigma) = 2k \left(|l| + n\frac{1}{2} \right) v_l(\theta,\sigma).$$

Remark 2. This Proposition follows from standard theory of multi-dimensional Hermite polynomials. In fact, the eigenfunctions of $\hat{\Delta}_\sigma$ depend on the the choice of a basis $\{\mathsf{b}_j\}_j$ of $\mathfrak{t}$. If we choose the basis to be *orthonormal* with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_k$ the eigenfunctions $\{v_l\}_l$ define a Hilbert basis of $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t})$ with respect to the inner product $\langle\!\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle\!\rangle_{\mathfrak{t}}$ from (49). Indeed one can easily verify that, in such a basis, $v_l(\theta,\sigma) = (-1)^{|l|} H_{l,\sigma}(\theta) v(\theta,\sigma)$ where

$$H_{l,\sigma}(\theta) = (-1)^{|l|} e^{\alpha(\theta,\theta)_k} \partial^l e^{-\alpha(\theta,\theta)_k},$$
$$\alpha \equiv \pi i \frac{\overline{\sigma} - \sigma}{\sigma\overline{\sigma}}, \qquad \partial^l = \partial_1^{l_1} \dots \partial_n^{l_n}.$$

which are orthogonal polynomials (*multi-dimendsional Hermite polynomials*). Moreover $v(\theta,\sigma)\overline{v(\theta,\sigma)} = e^{-\alpha(\theta,\theta)_k}$, so the v_l are orthogonal $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t})$ functions. Furthermore recall the following *Mehler formula* for every $w \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathrm{Re}(w) > 0$

$$\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} \frac{w^{|l|}}{\langle\!\langle v_l, v_l\rangle\!\rangle_{\mathfrak{t}}} H_{l,\sigma}(x)H_{l,\sigma}(y) =$$

$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi(1-w^2)}\right)^n} \exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-w^2}\left(2w\,(x,y)_k - w^2((x,x)_k + (y,y)_k)\right)\right) \tag{68}$$

Choose $\mathrm{b} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\mathrm{b}| = 1$, $\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{b}) > 0$ and

$$is = k\frac{1-\mathrm{b}^2}{1+\mathrm{b}^2}, \tag{69}$$

The choice of a square root of $-\mathrm{b}^2$ gives

$$e^{-4kr} = -\mathrm{b}^2 \qquad\qquad e^{-2kr} = i\mathrm{b} \tag{70}$$

From Proposition 6 we see that $\hat{\Delta}_\sigma = 2k\left(\hat{N}_\sigma + \frac{n}{2}\right)$ where $\hat{N}_\sigma$ has spectrum equal to $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. So, its exponential can be written as

$$e^{-r\hat{\Delta}_\sigma} = e^{-knr}e^{-2kr\hat{N}_\sigma} = (i\mathrm{b})^{\frac{n}{2}}(i\mathrm{b})^{\hat{N}_\sigma}.$$

Finding an explicit expression for $e^{-r\hat{\Delta}_\sigma}\psi = f$ can be obtained via the kernel $k_{\sigma,\mathrm{b}}$ as follows

$$f(\theta,\sigma,\mathrm{b}) = \int_{\mathfrak{t}} k_{\sigma,\mathrm{b}}(\theta,\tilde{\theta})\psi(\tilde{\theta})\mathrm{d}vol_k(\tilde{\theta}). \tag{71}$$

Recall the Hilbert bases $\{v_l\}_l$ for $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t})$ diagonalizing $\hat{\Delta}_\sigma$. We can rewrite the kernel as

$$k_{\sigma,\mathrm{b}}(\theta,\tilde{\theta}) = (i\mathrm{b})^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \frac{(i\mathrm{b})^{|l|}}{\langle\!\langle v_l, v_l\rangle\!\rangle_{\mathfrak{t}}} v_l(\theta,\sigma)\overline{v_l(\tilde{\theta},\sigma)} \tag{72}$$

Since $\mathrm{Re}(-\mathrm{b}^2) = 1-2(\mathrm{Re}\mathrm{b})^2 < 0$, Mehler's Formula (68) gives the following explicit kernel

Lemma 5. For $i\mathrm{b} = e^{-2kr}$ we have

$$e^{-r\hat{\Delta}_\sigma}\psi(\theta) =$$

$$(ib)^{\frac{n}{2}}\sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi(1+\mathrm{b}^2)}\right)^n}\int_{\mathfrak{t}} \exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\mathrm{b}^2}\left(2i\mathrm{b}\left(\theta,\tilde{\theta}\right)_k + \mathrm{b}^2((\theta,\theta)_k + \left(\tilde{\theta},\tilde{\theta}\right)_k)\right)\right)$$
$$v(\theta,\sigma)\overline{v(\tilde{\theta},\sigma)}\psi(\tilde{\theta})\mathrm{d}vol_k(\tilde{\theta})$$

where

$$\alpha = \pi i\frac{\overline{\sigma}-\sigma}{\sigma\overline{\sigma}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2 The conjugation relation stated in proposition 1 was already established on equation (66). In the case $\sigma = i\mathrm{b}$ the operator from Lemma 5 takes the form

$$e^{-r\hat{\Delta}_{i\mathrm{b}}}\psi(\theta) = \overline{\mathrm{b}}^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{\pi(\mathrm{b}-\overline{\mathrm{b}})(\theta,\theta)_k} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{t}}(\psi)(\theta)$$

and the explicit expressions follow easily. □

5. Remark on Compact Quantum Chern–Simons Theory

In this last section we want to make precise contact with the results known for Chern–Simons theory with gauge group G. With reference to [Je], Proposition 4.2, consider $\mathfrak{g}$ simply laced. The matrix elements $S_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ presented there for the level-k theory, corresponds to our matrix elements

$$\hat{\rho}_{k+h,1}(\varphi)_{\frac{(\alpha+\rho)}{k},\frac{(\beta+\rho)}{k}} \text{ for } \varphi = S \text{ or } T,$$

with the specific choice of $j = i^{-|\Delta_+|}$ and $\omega = e^{\pi i(\rho,\rho)_1/h}$. Here h and ρ have the same meaning as the ones in [Je]. The indexes $(\alpha+\rho)/k$ and $(\beta+\rho)/k$ run in the set $I_{(k+h)}$, that corresponds to the weights in the interior of the $(k+h)$-alcove, exactly as in [Je] for the level $k+h$ representation. The relation between the shift on the level $k \mapsto k+h$ and the corresponding shift on weights $\alpha \mapsto \alpha+\rho$ is explained in [Je], section A.5.
We remark that for $G = \mathrm{SU}(N)$ without the shift $k \mapsto k+h$, we find similar formulae in [We], but there for compact Chern–Simons theory.

Appendices

A. Notation from Lie Theory

In this appendix we recall some notation and facts from Lie theory that is useful to formulate Chern–Simons theory in genus 1. We follow the presentations in [ADW, Je] quit closely.
Let G be a *compact*, *simple*, *connected*, *simply connected* Lie group, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be its Lie algebra. Let $\mathbb{T} \subset G$ be a maximal torus in G and let $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra, which is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The *rank* of G is defined as the $\dim \mathbb{T}$ and is denoted by n.
There is a preferred inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_1$ in $\mathfrak{t}$ which is determined, up to a positive scalar K, by the trace as $(X,Y)_1 = -K\,\mathrm{Tr}(XY)$.
Associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ we have the *root system* thought of as a certain finite set of $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ in the dual of the Cartan subalgebra. Via $(\cdot,\cdot)_1$ we can identify $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^*$. In this way the roots can have only two possible lengths.
We fix the normalization factor $K > 0$ so that $(\alpha,\alpha)_1 = 2$ for α a *longest root*. For a *short root* β one has $(\beta,\beta)_1 = 2/p$, where $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ depends only on $\mathfrak{g}$. A Lie algebra is called *simply laced* if all its roots have the same length.
A fixed set of *positive roots* is denoted Δ_+, while the corresponding set of *simple roots* α_i, $i = 1,\ldots,n$, is denoted $\Delta \subset \Delta_+$.
To each root α we can associate a *coroot* $h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}$ such that $h_\alpha(\alpha) = 2$. Via the preferred inner product we can identify $h_\alpha = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha,\alpha)_1}$. In particular the norm of the coroots of a long (resp. short) root α_l (resp. α_s) are

$$(h_{\alpha_l},h_{\alpha_l})_1 = 2 \qquad (h_{\alpha_s},h_{\alpha_s})_1 = 2p, \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \tag{73}$$

The *coroot lattice* $\Lambda^R \subset \mathfrak{t}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$–span of the coroots. This gives the identification

$$\mathbb{T} = \mathfrak{t}/\Lambda^R. \tag{74}$$

For every integer $k \geq 1$ we define a k-scaled inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_k \equiv k\,(\cdot,\cdot)_1$. We use it to define the dual of Λ^R with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_k$

$$\Lambda^w_{(k)} \equiv \left\{\gamma \in \mathfrak{t} \text{ such that } (\gamma,\lambda)_k \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ for every } \lambda \in \Lambda^R\right\}.$$

Λ^R is integral with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_k$, meaning that $\Lambda^R \subset \Lambda^w_{(k)}$ for all k. In the special case $k = 1$, $\Lambda^w = \Lambda^w_{(1)}$ is called the *weights lattice*.

We will denote by $\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}$ the finite abelian group

$$\mathcal{Z}_{(k)} \equiv \Lambda^w_{(k)}/\Lambda^R. \tag{75}$$

For any full rank lattice Λ we write $\mathrm{Vol}_k\,\Lambda$ for the volume of a fundamental domain of the action of Λ on $\mathfrak{t}$ with respect to the inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_k$. For a finite set C, $|C|$ is its cardinality. We have

$$\mathrm{Vol}_1\Lambda^w_{(k)} = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_1\Lambda^w}{k^n} = \left(\mathrm{Vol}_1\,\Lambda^R k^n\right)^{-1}, \qquad |\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}| = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_1\Lambda^R}{\mathrm{Vol}_1\Lambda^w_{(k)}} = |\Lambda^R|^2 k^n \tag{76}$$

The Weyl group W is the group generated by the reflections s_α through the hyperplane orthogonal to α, i.e.

$$s_\alpha(v) = v - 2\frac{(\alpha,v)}{(\alpha,\alpha)}. \tag{77}$$

A fundamental domain for the action of W on $\mathfrak{t}$ is called a *Weyl Chamber*. After a choice of a set of simple roots Δ is fixed, we can identify a preferred chamber F_0, called *fundamental Weyl chamber* as

$$F_0 \equiv \{x \in \mathfrak{t} :\ (x,\alpha)_1 > 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta\}. \tag{78}$$

In this way $\mathfrak{t}$ is decomposed into $|W|$ Weyl chambers and W acts simply transitively on the set of them, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{t} = \bigcup_{w\in W} \overline{w\,(F_0)}. \tag{79}$$

The space $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t}, \langle\!\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\!\rangle_{\mathfrak{t}})$ is defined with respect to the following inner product

$$\langle\!\langle f,g\rangle\!\rangle_{\mathfrak{t}} \equiv \int_{\mathfrak{t}} f(x)\overline{g(x)}\mathrm{d}vol_k(x), \tag{80}$$

where $\mathrm{d}vol_k$ is the measure on $\mathfrak{t}$ induced by $(\cdot,\cdot)_k$.
An $f \in \mathrm{L}^2(\mathfrak{t})$ can be projected orthogonally into the subspace of *W–invariant* (resp. *W–anti-invariant*) functions $\mathrm{L}^2_+(\mathfrak{t})$ (resp. $\mathrm{L}^2_-(\mathfrak{t})$) as follows

$$P_+(f)(x) = \frac{1}{|W|}\sum_{w\in W} f(w(x)) \qquad \textit{(inariant)} \tag{81}$$

$$P_-(f)(x) = \frac{1}{|W|}\sum_{w\in W} \det(w) f(w(x)) \qquad \textit{(anti–inariant)} \tag{82}$$

where $\det(w)$ is the same as the sign character. In particular, both $\mathrm{L}^2_+(\mathfrak{t})$ and $\mathrm{L}^2_-(\mathfrak{t})$ are isomorphic to $\mathrm{L}^2(F_0)$ by restriction to a Weyl chamber.
The *affine Weyl group* W_a is the semidirect product $\Lambda^R \rtimes W$. Its *fundamental alcove* $A \subset \mathfrak{t}$ is the set

$$A \equiv \{x \in \mathfrak{t} :\ 0 < (x,\alpha)_1 < 1,\ \text{for all } \alpha \in \Delta_+\}, \tag{83}$$

and $\overline{A}$ is a fundamental domain for the action of W_a on $\mathfrak{t}$. Denote by $\Omega^R \subset \mathfrak{t}$ the fundamental domain of Λ^R containing A. We have

$$\operatorname{Vol}_1 \Lambda^R = \operatorname{Vol}_1(\Omega^R) = |W| \operatorname{Vol}_1(A). \tag{84}$$

We define the following two sets of indexes

$$I_k \equiv \Lambda^w_{(k)} \cap A, \qquad \overline{I}_k \equiv \Lambda^w_{(k)} \cap \overline{A}. \tag{85}$$

The set $\overline{I}_k$ can be thought as a fundamental domain for the action of W on $\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}$ or the action of W_a on $\Lambda^w_{(k)}$. By scaling by k we get a bijection between $\overline{I}_k$ and the weights in the fundamental k-alcove (compare with [Je] equation A.16 and section A.5).
The space of functions $x\colon \mathcal{Z}_{(k)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a finite dimensional vector space of dimension $|\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}|$. A basis is given by the functions δ_γ, $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_{(k)}$ defined as

$$\delta_\gamma(\gamma^0) = \delta\left(\gamma - \gamma^0 \mod \Lambda^R\right). \tag{86}$$

The subspaces $\overline{C}_{(k)}$ and $C_{(k)}$ of, respectively, W–invariant and W–anti-invariant functions are then spanned, respectively, by the following two basis

$$\overline{C}_{(k)} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle e_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\overline{I}_k} \qquad C_{(k)} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle \tilde{e}_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in I_k} \tag{87}$$

$$e_\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{|W|}} \sum_{w\in W} \delta_{w(\gamma)}, \qquad \text{for every } \gamma \in \overline{I}_k, \tag{88}$$

$$\tilde{e}_\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{|W|}} \sum_{w\in W} \det(w)\delta_{w(\gamma)}, \qquad \text{for every } \gamma \in I_k. \tag{89}$$

We use the sets $\overline{I}_k$ and I_k to index the basises $\{e_\gamma\}$ and $\{\tilde{e}_\gamma\}$ respectively.
These basises are orthonormal with respect to the product

$$\langle\!\langle x,y\rangle\!\rangle_{\mathcal{Z}_{(k)}} \equiv \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{Z}} x(\gamma)\overline{y(\gamma)}. \tag{90}$$

References

[A1] J.E. Andersen, *Geometric Quantization of Symplectic Manifolds with respect to reducible non-negative polarizations*. Commun. in Math. Phys. **183** (1997) 401–421.

[A2] J.E Andersen, *Deformation quantization and geometric quantization of abelian moduli spaces*, Comm. of Math. Phys. **255**, (2005) 727–745.

[A3] J.E. Andersen, *Asymptotic faithfulness of the quantum $SU(n)$ representations of the mapping class groups*, Annals of Mathematics, **163** (2006) 347–368.

[AMU] J.E. Andersen, G. Masbaum & K. Ueno, *Topological quantum field theory and the Nielsen-Thurston classification of $M(0,4)$*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **141** (2006) No. 3, 477–488.

[AU1] J.E. Andersen & K. Ueno, *Geometric construction of modular functors from conformal field theory*, Journal of Knot theory and its Ramifications. **16** 2 (2007), 127–202.

[AU2] J.E. Andersen & K. Ueno, *Abelian Conformal Field theories and Determinant Bundles*, International Journal of Mathematics. **18** (2007) 919–993.

[A4] J.E. Andersen, *The Nielsen-Thurston classification of mapping classes is determined by TQFT*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **48** (2008) No. 2, 323–338.

[A5] J.E. Andersen, *Toeplitz Operators and Hitchin's projectively flat connection*, in *The many facets of geometry: A tribute to Nigel Hitchin*, 177–209, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, (2010).

[AG1] J.E. Andersen & N.L. Gammelgaard, *Hitchin's Projectively Flat Connection, Toeplitz Operators and the Asymptotic Expansion of TQFT Curve Operators*, Grassmannians, Moduli Spaces and Vector Bundles, 1–24, Clay Math. Proc., 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2011).

[AB] J.E. Andersen & J. Blaavand, *Asymptotics of Toeplitz operators and applications in TQFT*, Traveaux Mathématiques, **19** (2011) 167–201.

[AU3] J.E. Andersen & K. Ueno, *Modular functors are determined by their genus zero data*, Quantum Topology **3** (2012) No. 3/4, 255–291.

[A6] J.E. Andersen, *Hitchin's connection, Toeplitz operators and symmetry invariant deformation quantization*, Quantum Topology **3** (2012) No. 3/4,293–325.

[AGL] J.E. Andersen, N.L. Gammelgaard & M.R. Lauridsen, *Hitchin's Connection in Metaplectic Quantization*, Quantum Topology **3** (2012) No. 3/4,327–357.

[AHi] J.E. Andersen & B. Himpel, *The Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of finite order mapping tori II*, Quantum Topology **3** (2012) No. 3/4, 377–421.

[A7] J.E. Andersen, *The Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of finite order mapping tori I*, Journal für Reine und Angewandte Mathematik **681** (2013) 1–36.

[AU4] J.E. Andersen & K. Ueno, *Construction of the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev TQFT from conformal field theory*, Invent. Math. **201** (2015) No. 2, 519–559.

[AK1] J. E. Andersen and R. M. Kashaev, *A TQFT from Quantum Teichmüller Theory*, Commun. in Math. Phys., Published online June 14, (2014). Digital Object Identifier (DOI) 10.1007/s00220-014-2073-2.

[AK2] J. E. Andersen and R. M. Kashaev, *A new formulation of the Teichmüller TQFT*, ArXiv: 1305.4291, (2013).

[AHJMMc] J. E. Andersen, B. Himpel, S. F. Jørgensen, J. Martens, and B. McLellan. *The Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant for links in finite order mapping tori i.* Advances in Mathematics **304** (2017), 131–178.

[AK3] J. E. Andersen and R. M. Kashaev, *Complex Quantum Chern Simons*, ArXiv: 1409.1208, (2014).

[AG2] J. E. Andersen and Niels Leth Gammelgaard, *The Hitchin-Witten connection and Complex Quantum Chern Simons Theory*, ArXiv: 1409.1035, (2014).

[AGP] J. E. Andersen, S. Gukov and D. Pei, *The Verlinde formula for Higgs bundles*, arXiv:1608.01761, (2016).

[AS] J. E. Andersen and S. Marzioni, *Level N Teichmuller TQFT and Complex Chern–Simons Theory*. To appear in Traveaux Mathématiques (2017).

[AtB] M. Atiyah & R. Bott, *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., Vol. **A308** (1982) 523–615.

[ADW] S. Axelrod, S. Della Pietra, E. Witten, *Geometric quantization of Chern Simons gauge theory*, J.Diff.Geom. **33** (1991) 787–902.

[BK] B. Bakalov and A. Kirillov, *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors*, AMS University Lecture Series, **21** (2000).

[B1] C. Blanchet, *Hecke algebras, modular categories and* 3*-manifolds quantum invariants*, Topology **39** (2000), no. 1, 193–223.

[BHMV1] C. Blanchet, N. Habegger, G. Masbaum & P. Vogel, *Three-manifold invariants derived from the Kauffman Bracket*. Topology **31** (1992) 685–699.

[BHMV2] C. Blanchet, N. Habegger, G. Masbaum & P. Vogel, *Topological Quantum Field Theories derived from the Kauffman bracket*. Topology **34** (1995) 883–927.

[Cha1] K. Chandrasekharan, *Elliptic Functions* , Grundlehrender math.Wissenschaften, vol. **281**. Berlin Heidelberg New York (1985).

[Di1] T. Dimofte. *Quantum Riemann surfaces in Chern-Simons theory* Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., **17** (2013) No. 3, 479–599.

[Di2] T. Dimofte. *Complex Chern-Simons theory at level k via the 3d-3d correspondence.* arXiv:1409.0857, (2014).

[Di3] Tudor Dimofte, *Perturbative and nonperturbative aspects of complex Chern-Simons Theory*, arXiv:1608.02961, (2016).

[DGG] T. Dimofte, D. Gaiotto, and S. Gukov. *Gauge theories labelled by three-manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys., **325** (2014) No.2, 367–419, .

[DGa1] T. Dimofte and S. Garoufalidis. The quantum content of the gluing equations. *Geom. Topol.* **17** (2013) No. 3, 1253–1315.

[DGa2] Tudor Dimofte, Stavros Garoufalidis, *Quantum modularity and complex Chern-Simons theory*, arXiv:1511.05628, (2016).

[DGu1] T. Dimofte and S. Gukov. *Chern-Simons theory and S-duality.* J. High Energy Phys. **109** (2013) No 5., front matter+65.

[DGLZ] T. Dimofte, S. Gukov, J. Lenells, and D. Zagier. *Exact results for perturbative Chern-Simons theory with complex gauge group.* Commun. Number Theory Phys. **3** (2009) No. 2, 363–443.

[BNW] Dror Bar-Natan and Edward Witten, *Perturbative expansion of Chern-Simons theory with noncompact gauge group*, Comm. Math. Phys. **141** (1991) no. 2, 423–440.

[Fr1] D.S. Freed, *Classical Chern-Simons Theory, Part 1*, Adv. Math. **113** (1995) 237–303.

[Ga1] S. Garoufalidis. *The 3d index of an ideal triangulation and angle structures.* arXiv:1208.1663, (2012).

[Gu1] S. Gukov. *Three-dimensional quantum gravity, Chern-Simons theory, and the A-polynomial.* Comm. Math. Phys., **255** (2005) No. 3, 577–627.

[GM] S. Gukov and H. Murakami. $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ *Chern-Simons theory and the asymptotic behavior of the colored Jones polynomial.* Lett. Math. Phys. **86** (2008) No. 2-3, 79–98.

[Hi1] K. Hikami. *Hyperbolicity of partition function and quantum gravity.* Nuclear Phys. B, **616** (2001) No. 3, 537–548.

[Hi2] K. Hikami. Generalized volume conjecture and the A-polynomials: the Neumann-Zagier potential function as a classical limit of the partition function. *J. Geom. Phys.* **57** (2007) No. 9, 1895–1940.

[H] N. Hitchin, *Flat connections and geometric quantization*, Comm.Math.Phys. **131** (1990) 347–380.

[Je] L. C. Jeffrey, *Chern-Simons-Witten invariants of lens spaces and torus bundles, and the semiclassical approximation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **147** (1992) no. 3, 563–604.

[La1] Y. Laszlo, *Hitchin's and WZW connections are the same*, J. Diff. Geom. **49** (1998) no. 3, 547–576.

[RSW] T.R. Ramadas, I.M. Singer and J. Weitsman, *Some Comments on Chern – Simons Gauge Theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **126** (1989) 409-420.

[RT1] N. Reshetikhin & V. Turaev, *Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived fron quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **127** (1990) 1–26.

[RT2] N. Reshetikhin & V. Turaev, *Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **103** (1991) 547–597.

[TUY] A. Tsuchiya, K. Ueno & Y. Yamada, *Conformal Field Theory on Universal Family of Stable Curves with Gauge Symmetries*, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathmatics*, **19** (1989) 459–566.

[T] V. G. Turaev, *Quantum invariants of knots and 3-manifolds*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 18. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994. x+588 pp. ISBN: 3-11-013704-6

[We] J. Weitsman, *Quantization via real polarization of the moduli space of flat connections and Chern-Simons gauge theory in genus one*, Comm. Math. Phys. **137** (1991) 175–190.

[Wi1] E. Witten, *Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial*, Commun. Math. Phys **121** (1989) 351–98.

[Wi2] E. Witten. Quantization of Chern-Simons gauge theory with complex gauge group. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **137** (1991) No. 1, 29–66.

[Wi3] E. Witten. Analytic continuation of Chern-Simons theory. In *Chern-Simons gauge theory: 20 years after*, volume 50 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 347–446. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.

Center for Quantum Geometry of Moduli Spaces, University of Aarhus, DK-8000, Denmark

E-mail address: andersen@qgm.au.dk

Center for Quantum Geometry of Moduli Spaces, University of Aarhus, DK-8000, Denmark

E-mail address: marzioni@qgm.au.dk